

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/782,211	HAUSMANN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	D. S. Nakarani	1773

All Participants:

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Lucas K. Shay, Attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 March 2006

Time: 09:00 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 6, 8 and 11-13

Prior art documents discussed:

Art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Shay agreed to amend claims 1, 6 and 8, to cancel claims 11-13 and add new claim 14 to avoid rejection under 35 USC 112 second paragraph. For details of the agreement see Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)